



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/826,919	04/16/2004	Alexander Deiters	54-000250US	1323
22798	7590	02/11/2009		
QUINE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP, P.C. P O BOX 458 ALAMEDA, CA 94501			EXAMINER	
			GEBREYESUS, KAGNEW H	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1656		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		02/11/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/826,919	Applicant(s) DEITERS ET AL.
	Examiner KAGNEW H. GEBREYESUS	Art Unit 1656

All Participants:(1) KAGNEW H. GEBREYESUS.**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____.

(2) Attorney Jonnathan Quine.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 February 2009**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.**Rejection(s) discussed:**

Introduction of new limitation "conservative variant" in claim 53 and dependent claims, None elected sequences in claim 62 and claim 63 required to incorporate sequence limitation.

Claims discussed:

53, 62 and 63

Prior art documents discussed:**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/KAGNEW H. GEBREYESUS/

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants were advised to amend claim 53 either by cancelling the term "conservative variants" in the claim and dependent claims. Furthermore applicants were advised to cancell cancel claim 62 because it is drawn to non-elected subject matter. Furthermore Applicants were advised to Includ sequence limitations in claim 63 . Applicants attorney agreed to discuss the above proposed amendments with client.